Statement

Upgradation of 13 medical institutes under PMSSY

The following 13 medical institutes have already been identified for upgradation under PMSSY.

1. Govt. Medical College, Jammu (J&K) Govt.
2. Govt. Medical College, Srinagar (J&K)
3. Kolkatta Medical College, Kolkatta (W.B.)
4. Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow (U.P)
5. Institute of Medical Sciences, BHU, Varanasi (U.P.)
6. Nizam Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad (A.P.)
7. Sri Venkateshwara Institute of Medical Sciences, Tirupati (A.P.) (50% cost of upgradation will be borne by the TTD Trust)
8. Govt. Medical College, Salem (T.N.)
9. Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences, Ranchi (Jharkhand)
10. B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad (Gujarat)
11. Bangalore Medical College, Bangalore(Karnataka)
12. Grants Medical College & Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals, Mumbai, (Maharashtra)**
13. Government Medical College, Thrivananthapuram, (Kerala)

** The upgradation is pending as the matter is *subjudice* in Nagpur Bench of Mumbai High Court on selection of this institution, instead of the Medical College at Nagpur.

Discrimination against dalits in AIIMS

681. SHRI SHAHID SIDDIQUI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there is discrimination against dalits in AIIMS; and

(b) what percentage of SC/ST on various posts are in AIIMS?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI PANABAKA LAKSHMI): (a) The committee constituted by the Government of India under the Chairmanship of Dr. Sukha Deo Thorat, Chairman, UGC to look into the allegations of differential treatment of SC & ST students in AIIMS in its report has observed, *inter-alia*, that there has been some differential treatment with SC/ST/OBC students as well as faculty members in AIIMS. The AIIMS has been asked to furnish their comments on the observations in the report and the action taken on the recommendations of the Committee. On receipt of reply from the AIIMS, the matter will be considered by the Government.

(b) As per the information furnished by AIIMS, the percentage of SC/ST on various posts in AIIMS is as given in the enclosed Statement.

Statement

Percenctage of SC/ST on various posts in AIIMS.

Group	% of SC in position	% of ST in position
'A' (Faculty Posts)	16.43%	4.69%
'A' (Non-Faculty posts)	12.83%	2.13%
'B'	17.77%	4.48%
'C'	18.74%	5.14%
'D'	56.77%	3.25%
JR (Non Academic)	12.62%	5.82%
SR (Non Academic)	11.85%	3.2%

Litigation in AIIMS

682. SHRI PRAVEEN RASHTRAPAL: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) the stage and status of litigation in the affairs of AIIMS and the Ministry in the case of going on in the Delhi High Court;

(b) the details of expenditure incurred so far by his Ministry; and

(c) who is making payment as far as the expenditure incurred by Director AIIMS Dr. P. Venugopal is concerned?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI PANABAKA LAKSHMI): (a) The order dt. 07.07.2006 of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court (Single Judge Bench) staying the decision of Institute Body to curtail the term of Director, AIIMS under the provisions of Regulation 31 of AIIMS Regulation was appealed against and a decision was also taken that IB will have a fresh look into the issue. Accordingly, the Institute Body at its meeting on 18.10.2006 decided to seek response from Director, AIIMS on various matters. On the petition (CM No.l2471/2006 in WP(C) 10687/2006) filed by Dr. P. Venugopal the Single judge bench issued directions on 18.10.2006. Against which an appeal was preferred before the Division Bench. The Division Bench in its judgement dt. 29.3.2007, (WP(c)No10687/2006) had allowed the Institute Body to take further decision in the matter relating to tenure of Director in accordance with law. However, this order dt. 29.03.2007 has again been appealed against by Dr. P. Venugopal and AIIMS in SLP before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the same is yet to be decided.

(b) No expenditure has been incurred so far by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare in this matter.

(c) The AIIMS has reported that no payment is being made by it in this regard.